

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,385	10/19/2005	Takahiro Nagai	074782-0023	1179
Michael E. Fo	7590 05/14/2010 party, Esq.	EXAMINER		
McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP			MEANS, JAREN M	
600 13th Stree Washington, E	t, NW OC 20005-3096	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2447	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/14/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

_						
Application No.		Applicant(s)				
	10/553,385	NAGAI ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jaren M. Means	2447				

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED <u>27 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.</u>

1. \(\times \

MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office section; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than there months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely for the original replacement of the property of the original replacement or the original re

set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).
NOTICE OF APPEAL
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since of Notice of Appeal has been filled, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(b).
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will pot be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 4.133(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. \(\bigcirc The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2447

13. Other: _____.
/Joon H. Hwang/

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

I Applicants argue on page 2 of the remarks that, Goodman does not disclose sending the network address of one client (second terminal device) to the other client (first terminal device) when the first terminal device retains the multimedia information, or sending the network address of the first terminal device to the second terminal device when the second terminal device retains the multimedia information. The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's arguments because Goodman's seed list contains several network addresses including a second terminal device and the first terminal device both when the first terminal device them that the multimedia information and when the second terminal device match is the multimedia information. Therefore, since the all addresses in either case, using the broadest reasonable interpretation Goodman discloses the claimed limitation.

II.Applicants argue on page 2 of the remarks that, Goodman does not disclose the processes being performed on the server. The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's arguments because Goodman discloses that the servers can maintain multiple indexes and allow access to different forms of data. This type of system would use software agents or Bots to provide services such as extraction and consolidation of multiple resources, chat facilities, and notifications of changes. Search requests could be stored in the server and set to run in real-time or as a batch process, and alert the appropriate clients of the results (section 13). Therefore, Goodman discloses the instant application's claimed limitation.